

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, WESTERN DIVISION

10 SHARA GUENGERICH, KORTNEY
11 BLYTHE, ASHLEY COLANTUONO,
12 HENRY SHAVER, and TARA
SHAVER,

13 Plaintiffs,

14 vs.

15 BRUCE BARON, in his individual and
16 official capacity, EARIC PETERS, in
17 his individual and official capacity,
18 MYRA SIEGEL, in her individual and
official capacity, RICHARD
PFIEFFER, in his individual and
official capacity,

19 Defendants.

CASE NO.: 2:10-CV-01045-JHN-PLAx

AMENDED JUDGMENT

Judge: Honorable Jacqueline H. Nguyen

20
21 **TO ALL PARTIES HEREIN AND TO THEIR RESPECTIVE**
22 **ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:**

23
24 On March 21, 2011, the Court, Hon. Jacqueline H. Nguyen, District Judge
25 Presiding, held a hearing on the following motions: (1) Motion for Summary
26 Judgment by Defendant Richard Pfeiffer (docket no. 27); (2) Motion for Summary
27 Judgment by Defendants Bruce Baron and Earic Peters (“LACC Defendants”)¹

28
¹ Plaintiffs’ also originally named Myra Siegel as a Defendant, but voluntarily


1 (docket no. 30); and (3) Motion for Summary Adjudication of Issues by Plaintiffs
2 Shara Guengerich, Kortney Blythe, Ashley Colantuono, Henry Shaver, and Tara
3 Shaver (docket no. 32). After fully considering the briefs and evidence filed in this
4 matter, as well as oral arguments presented at the hearing, the Court, having duly
5 rendered a decision on May 5, 2011, GRANTED Defendants' respective motions
6 (docket nos. 27, 30) as to the first and second claims (premised on alleged
7 violations of the First, Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments), declined to exercise
8 supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' third and fourth claims (premised on
9 alleged violations of state law), and DENIED Plaintiffs' motion (docket no. 32).

10 **NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that:**

- 11 1. Plaintiffs shall take nothing by their Complaint;
12 2. Judgment shall be entered in favor of Defendants Bruce Baron, Earic
13 Peters, and Richard Pfeiffer on the first and second claims and such claims shall be
14 dismissed on the merits;
15 3. Plaintiffs' third and fourth claims shall be dismissed based on this
16 Court declining to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over the claims; and
17 4. Defendants shall recover their costs.

18
19 Date: May 13, 2011

20 By: _____



21 Honorable Jacqueline H. Nguyen
22 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
23
24
25
26
27

28 _____
dismissed her from the lawsuit on March 17, 2011. (See docket no. 59.)